UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,448	02/27/2004	Hiroshi Kido	02-0187	9915
²⁸⁵⁰² MICHAEL P. N	7590 02/22/201 MORRIS	EXAMINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION			FOLEY, SHANON A	
900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO.e-Office.rdg@boehringer-ingelheim.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of About a success	10/790,448	KIDO, HIROSHI
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHANON A. FOLEY	1619
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	iired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:		
	/Shanon A. Foley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	: 1610
	the helder of the state of the section of the	0.019

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20100213 Part of Paper No. 20100213